

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 09/933,263 | 08/20/2001 | Daniel J. Eckert | 1713-0014 | 5259 |
| 7590 01/13/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Harold C. Moore | | | WEISBERGER, RICHARD C | |
| Maginot, Addison & Moore Bank One Center/Tower | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 111 Monument Circle, Suite 3000 | | | 3624 | |
| Indianapolis, Il | N 46204-5115 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/933,263 | ECKERT ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Richard C Weisberger | 3624 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEI | l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | • | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11-22 | 2-04. | · | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| · _ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | |
| - · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | or the certified copies not receive | u. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | te | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/933,263

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation directed to making excess fund available to the performer, said excess funds including sale proceeds exceeding the minimum acceptable final valuation no claimed with particularity. It is not clear where these excess funds are obtained. Is the initial amount the offering price. Is the offering price bid at auction? Also, the excess fund definition is open ended and it is not clear where else the excess funds are obtained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 5745885.

The applicant argue that the prior art fails to teach of defining a minimum acceptable final valuation and retaining some the initial investment proceeds to cover a minimum final valuation. As to the initial final valuation, the applicant is applying a narrow reading of this limitation. Claim 1 of the prior art teaches of collecting "sufficient funds" these

Application/Control Number: 09/933,263

Art Unit: 3624

funds read on a final valuation. As to the newly added excess funds, the claims read on a scenario where the sale proceeds equal the final valuation and are therefor fully anticipated by the reference.

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3624

Respectfully

Richard C. Weisberger

571 272 6753